

NO

PERFORMANCE TR		

Our School

Mathematics

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Good	Average	N/A
2002	Good	Below Average	N/A
2003	Good	Below Average	No
2004		•	

PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT) RESULTS

English/Language Arts

Mathematics

Middle Schools with Students like Ours

English/Language Arts

Advanced

Proficient

Well prepared to work at next grade level; exceeded expectations

Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations

Basic

Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level

Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; the local board policy determines progress to the next grade level

NOTE: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS

	Teachers	Students	Parents
Number of surveys returned	40	169	56
Percent satisfied with learning environment	94.9%	72.6%	91.1%
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	97.4%	79.5%	58.2%
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	67.6%	82.0%	85.5%

Northside Middle								3202020
PACT PERFORMANCE	E BY GR	DUP Ret 15t ind No. 100 in the second seco						
		151.00		on Basic		Proficient of	Advanced on Profit	cient and cot
	/.5	ert Testill	zied /	"Bas.	asic /	'Oficies	wance (cient ancel
	inoll	30,00	lested ologic	104.	Basic oh	Sec 0/2	'MOI STON	cient and street
	/ v v		0/0	aliaa/La	nguage A		000	/ 9
All students	594	99.8	25.6	38.1	30.4	5.9	36.3	17.6
Gender	394	99.0	23.0	30.1	30.4	5.5	30.3	17.0
Male	304	99.7	34.4	38.1	24.2	3.3	27.5	17.6
Female	290	100.0	16.2	38.3	36.8	8.6	45.5	17.6
Racial/Ethnic Group	230	100.0	10.2	00.0	00.0	0.0	10.0	17.0
White	402	99.8	17.4	38.6	36.7	7.2	44.0	17.6
African-American	153	100.0	44.9	39.0	15.4	0.7	16.2	17.6
Asian/Pacific Islander	13	100.0	23.1	30.8	23.1	23.1	46.2	17.6
Hispanic	25	100.0	52.9	29.4	17.6	N/A	17.6	17.6
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Disability Status	IN//A	0.0	14// (14// (14// (14// (14/71	.7.0
Not disabled	531	100.0	21.5	38.4	33.5	6.6	40.1	17.6
Disabled	63	98.4	60.7	35.7	3.6	N/A	3.6	17.6
Migrant Status	0.0	001	00.1	00.7	0.0	14// (0.0	17.0
Migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Non-migrant	594	99.8	25.4	38.2	30.4	5.9	36.4	17.6
English Proficiency	001	00.0	20.1	00.2	00.1	0.0	00.1	17.0
Limited English proficient	16	100.0	84.6	7.7	7.7	N/A	7.7	17.6
Non-limited English proficient	578	99.8	23.8	38.9	31.2	6.1	37.4	17.6
Socio-Economic Status								
Subsidized meals	228	100.0	45.1	39.5	13.8	1.5	15.4	17.6
Full-pay meals	361	99.7	14.5	37.4	39.7	8.4	48.1	17.6
				ı				
				Mathe	matics			
All students	594	99.7	19.1	37.7	19.1	24.1	43.2	15.5
Gender								
Male	304	99.7	21.7	39.0	15.8	23.5	39.3	15.5
Female	290	99.7	16.2	36.5	22.6	24.8	47.4	15.5
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	402	99.5	11.0	37.4	22.6	29.0	51.6	15.5
African-American	153	100.0	39.7	41.9	11.0	7.4	18.4	15.5
Asian/Pacific Islander	13	100.0	7.7	15.4	15.4	61.5	76.9	15.5
Hispanic	25	100.0	41.2	29.4	11.8	17.6	29.4	15.5
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Disability Status						05.5		
Not disabled	531	99.8	15.5	37.6	20.9	26.0	46.9	15.5
Disabled	63	98.4	50.9	38.2	3.6	7.3	10.9	15.5
Migrant Status	NIVO	0.0	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	45.5
Migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Non-migrant	594	99.7	19.0	37.7	19.1	24.2	43.3	15.5
English Proficiency		100.6	04.5	00.4	N1/A	45.4	15.4	45.5
Limited English proficient	16	100.0	61.5	23.1	N/A	15.4	15.4	15.5
Non-limited English proficient	578	99.7	17.6	38.1	19.7	24.5	44.3	15.5
Socio-Economic Status		100.0	246	1	44.0		20.0	
Cuboidized mode	220	1000	2/10	15.1	1112	0.2	1 20 6	1 15 5

10.7

33.3

23.5

32.5

20.6

55.9

15.5

15.5

100.0

99.4

Subsidized meals

Full-pay meals

PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRADE LEVEL

Grade 8

180

100.0

triding of teding olo Profese Harden de de la companya olo Balom Basic o/o Advanced olo Proficient olo Tested olo Basic English/Language Arts Grade 3 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 4 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 5 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 6 200 33.5 30.4 12.9 43.3 N/A 23.2 Grade 7 182 44.1 N/A 18.1 29.9 7.9 37.9 Grade 8 188 N/A 18.8 37.6 34.4 9.1 43.5 Grade 3 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 4 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 5 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 6 193 100.0 32.2 30.0 28.9 8.9 37.8 Grade 7 221 99.5 22.6 39.5 32.8 5.1 37.9

21.8

45.5

29.1

3.6

32.7

	Mathematics											
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
2002	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
2	Grade 6	200	N/A	26.3	29.4	22.2	22.2	44.3				
	Grade 7	182	N/A	31.5	24.2	20.8	23.6	44.4				
•	Grade 8	188	N/A	11.8	34.4	23.7	30.1	53.8				
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
2003	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
20	Grade 6	193	100.0	16.7	35.0	20.0	28.3	48.3				
	Grade 7	221	99.5	21.6	33.0	16.0	29.4	45.4				
	Grade 8	180	99.4	18.8	46.1	21.8	13.3	35.2				

SCHOOL PROFILE

	Our School	Change from Last Year	Middle Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Middle School
Students (n= 600)				
Students enrolled in high school credit courses (grades 7 & 8)	26.9%	Up from 18.5%	25.4%	14.4%
Retention rate	2.0%	Down from 2.6%	2.0%	2.3%
Attendance rate Eligible for gifted and talented	95.5%	Down from 96.4%	95.5%	95.2%
	28.5%	Down from 29.9%	19.1%	13.6%
On academic plans On academic probation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
With disabilities other than speech Older than usual for grade	10.0%	Down from 10.9%	13.1%	14.1%
	3.2%	Down from 3.4%	3.6%	4.9%
Suspended or expelled	1.0%	Down from 2.6%	1.5%	1.3%
Annual dropout rate	0.5%	Up from 0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n= 41)				
Teachers with advanced degrees Continuing contract teachers	51.2%	Up from 50.0%	48.2%	47.1%
	87.8%	Up from 81.8%	87.9%	82.5%
Highly qualified teachers Teachers returning from previous year	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	87.4%	Up from 82.8%	87.3%	84.3%
Teacher attendance rate Average teacher salary	95.4%	Down from 95.5%	95.4%	95.0%
	\$42,091	Down 0.9%	\$40,811	\$39,924
Prof. development days/teacher	11.0 days	Up from 8.2 days	10.1 days	10.7 days
School				
Principal's years at school	14.0	No change	4.0	3.0
Student-teacher ratio	18.9 to 1	Down from 21.7 to 1	22.7 to 1	21.0 to 1
Prime instructional time Dollars spent per pupil*	90.3%	Down from 91.3%	89.6%	88.9%
	\$5,978	Up 4.6%	\$5,628	\$5,854
Percent spent on teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts	64.3%	Down from 65.4%	62.3%	62.0%
	Good	No change	Good	Good
Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation	98.0%	Up from 92.6%	96.8%	94.8%
	yes	N/A	yes	yes

^{*} Prior year audited financial data are reported.

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

				-
Ahhra	eviations	e tar I	Miccina	I lata

					•			
N/A	Not Applicable	N/C	Not Collected	N/R	Not Reported	I/S	Insufficient Sample	

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

This has been a rewarding year at Northside Middle School. Our students engaged in many activities designed to promote their achievements academically, artistically, and athletically. Many are listed below.

Our Odyssey of the Mind team won the State championship and went to the world finals in Aimes, Iowa.

Northside began a "Reading Buddy" Program.

Pat Kleckley, our art teacher, will be featured in an article in the September issue of School Arts, the Art Education Magazine.

Northside had thirty-three Junior Scholars and eight Duke Talent Search Scholars.

All Algebra I students passed the end of course test. The median score was 92 for the seventh graders and 86 for the eighth graders.

Northside was chosen as a 2003 South Carolina Healthy Schools Award Winning School in all eight of the Component Winners category. This is the highest level of Healthy Schools Achievement.

One student won first place in the district in the anti-tobacco poster contest. He won a three hundred dollar savings bond.

Another student won the "Champions of the Environment" poster contest at Riverbanks Zoo. She won a three hundred dollar saving bond and a metal.

One student won the district Spelling Bee.

The Concert Choir, Band, and Strings attended the Music Showcase Festival. They each received a "superior rating" for their performances.

Two of our students attended the Solo and ensemble Festival. They received a "Superior" rating for their flute duet.

M. RONALD THOMPKINS, Principal

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.